IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CRIM. NO. MJM-24-064

LUIS ESTEBAN BORUNDA,

Defendant

ORDER

This Court having considered the Consent Motion to Exclude Time under the Speedy Trial Act, and for the reasons stated therein, the Court finds that, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7), that the interests of justice will be served by continuing the trial date beyond the speedy trial date and that the ends of justice served by such a delay in the trial outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, in that:

- A. the failure to grant this request in this proceeding would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice;
- B. this case involves some complex issues and it would be unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act;
- C. the failure to set trial beyond the speedy trial date in this proceeding would deny counsel for the defendant and the attorneys for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; and
- D. it would be in the interest of justice to allow additional time for the parties to pursue plea negotiations.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the requested motion is GRANTED; and it is further ORDERED that for the reasons set forth in the consent motion, all time between **December 24, 2024 through February 28, 2025**, shall be excluded from the computation of time within which trial must commence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7) of the Speedy Trial Act.

12/23/24	/S/
Date	Matthew J. Maddox
	United States District Court Judge